1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

F	I	ľ		
		_	_	E

NOV 2 2 2006

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No C 06-3720 CR 05-0665 VRW

Plaintiff,

ORDER

LUIS PEREZ-GONZALEZ,

Defendant.

Defendant Luis Perez-Gonzalez ("defendant") has filed a motion attacking his sentence under 28 USC § 2255 claiming ineffective assistance of counsel. CR Doc #28-31.

I

Pursuant to a plea agreement with the government, on February 28, 2006, defendant pled guilty to two counts of illegal entry into the United States, in violation of 8 USC § 1325(a). #23. In the agreement, defendant admitted being a citizen of Mexico and not of the United States and entering the United States illegally, having previously committed the same offense. Doc #23.

copies to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

He agreed, inter alia, that section 2L1.2 of the Sentencing Guidelines applied to count two and that he would not "file any collateral attack on my convictions or sentence, including a petition under 28 USC § 2255, at any time in the future after I am sentenced, except for a claim that my constitutional right to the effective assistance of counsel was violated." Id.

On March 8, 2006, judgment was entered against the defendant. Doc #25. He was sentenced to a thirty-month term of imprisonment followed by one year of supervised release.

Defendant first contacted the court by letter filed May 31, 2006 and sent a second letter further elaborating the grounds for his motion that was filed June 12, 2006. Defendant's motion rests on an argument that he received ineffective assistance of counsel in connection with his decision to enter a guilty plea. Id.

ΙI

Rule 4(b) of the Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings For The United States District Courts provides for dismissal only "if it plainly appears from the motion, any attached exhibits, and the record of prior proceedings that the moving party is not entitled to relief." This is not such a case. Therefore, the court is required to order the United States attorney to file a response to the § 2255 motion. Id.

Where a defendant is represented by counsel during the plea process and enters his plea upon the advice of counsel, the voluntariness of the plea depends on whether counsel's advice was "within the range of competence demanded of attorneys in criminal cases." Hill v Lockhart, 474 US 52, 56 (1985). A challenge to a For the Northern District of California

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

guilty plea based on a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel is analyzed using a two-part test: (1) the defendant must show that his counsel's performance was deficient and (2) the deficient performance prejudiced his defense. United States v Rios-Ortiz, 830 F2d 1067, 1069-1070 (9th Cir 1987). To show deficient performance, the defendant must show that the counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness. See United States v Rubalcaba, 811 F2d 491, 494 (9th Cir 1986), cert denied, 484 US 832 (1987). The behavior complained of must fall below prevailing professional norms. United States v McMullen, 98 F3d 1155, 1158 (9th Cir 1996). The defendant must overcome the presumption that the challenged action might be considered sound trial strategy. Strickland v Washington, 466 US 668, 689 (1984).

III

The clerk is directed to serve the instant motion (Doc ## 28-31) on the United States attorney, who shall file and serve a response within thirty (30) days of this order. The clerk shall also serve the federal public defender, who may also serve and file a response on or before the same date. If defendant wishes to reply, he shall do so within ten days of receiving the response from the United States attorney.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

VAUGHN R WALKER

United States District Chief Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TI	C	٨
U	O	А.

Case Number C06-3720 VRW Case Number: CR05-00665 VRW

Plaintiff,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

V.

Luis Perez-Gonzalez,

-	•	1	
1 10	tan	4	2 mt
De	1011	u	มบน

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on November 22, 2006, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Josh Alan Cohen Office of the Public Defender 450 Golden Gate Avenue 19th floor San Francisco, CA 94102

Nahla Rajan Office of the U.S. Attorney 450 Golden Gate Ave. Invalid email address as of 10/16/06 San Francisco, CA 94102

Dated: November 22, 2006

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Cora Delfin, Deputy Clerk